

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/628,914                   | 07/29/2003                | Chih-Ming Ke         | TS01-1388              | 8121                    |  |
| 28112 7                      | 7590 08/10/2004           |                      | EXAMINER               |                         |  |
| GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES |                           |                      | HASHMI, ZIA R          |                         |  |
| 28 DAVIS AV<br>POUGHKEEP     | ENUE<br>SIE, NY 12603     |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| 10001111111                  | <b></b> , 111 <b></b> 000 |                      | 2881                   |                         |  |
|                              |                           |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/10/200 | DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |  | $\sim 1/$   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | ()          |  |  |  |
|   | 10/628,914  | KE ET AL.  |             |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |             |  |  |  |
|   | Zia R. Hashmi   | 2881   |             |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | opears on the cover sheet w   | vith the correspondence addi   | ress        |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP<br>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1<br>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).                | .136(a). In no event, however, may a<br>ply within the statutory minimum of th<br>d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC<br>tle, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com | munication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29   | October 2003.   |  |             |  |  |  |
|   | is action is non-final.   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow   |   | tters, prosecution as to the r   | merits is   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |             |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and.   | awn from consideration.   |  |             |  |  |  |
| Application Papers.   |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B  | a) accepted or b) objection of the drawing (s) be held in abeyone cition is required if the drawing.  | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF   |             |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | <b></b> □   | .O(PTO 140)  |             |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/2003.</li> </ol>   | Paper No  | r Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date<br>f Informal Patent Application (PTO-<br>                       | 152)        |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2881

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-20 of prior Application No: 10/047,266. This is a double patenting rejection. Claims 1-20 of the Application are identical to claims 1-20 of the Application No: 10/047,266.

## Conclusion

3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/628,914

Art Unit: 2881

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zia Hashmi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2473. The examiner can normally be reached between 8.30 AM- 5 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477.

Zia Hashmi

July 28, 2004

SUPPRISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 3